

Operator amenability of Fourier–Stieltjes algebras

Volker Runde*

Nico Spronk

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate, for a locally compact group G , the operator amenability of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ and of the reduced Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B_r(G)$. The natural conjecture is that any of these algebras is operator amenable if and only if G is compact. We partially prove this conjecture with mere operator amenability replaced by operator C -amenability for some constant $C < 5$. In the process, we obtain a new decomposition of $B(G)$, which can be interpreted as the non-commutative counterpart of the decomposition of $M(G)$ into the discrete and the continuous measures. We further introduce a variant of operator amenability — called operator Connes-amenability — which also takes the dual space structure on $B(G)$ and $B_r(G)$ into account. We show that $B_r(G)$ is operator Connes-amenable if and only if G is amenable. Surprisingly, $B(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is operator Connes-amenable although $\mathbb{F}_2$, the free group in two generators, fails to be amenable.

Keywords: locally compact groups, amenability, Fourier–Stieltjes algebra, reduced Fourier–Stieltjes algebra, operator amenability, almost periodic functions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 22D25, 43A30, 46H25, 46L07, 46L89, 46M18, 47B47, 47L25, 47L50 (primary).

Introduction

In his now classic memoir [10], B. E. Johnson initiated the theory of amenable Banach algebras. The choice of terminology is motivated by [10, Theorem 2.5]: a locally compact group G is amenable if and only if its group algebra $L^1(G)$ is an amenable Banach algebra. There are other Banach algebras associated with a locally compact G which are as natural objects of study as $L^1(G)$, e.g. the measure algebra $M(G)$. If G is discrete and amenable, then $M(G) = \ell^1(G) = L^1(G)$ is amenable by Johnson’s theorem. It was conjectured by A. T.-M. Lau and R. J. Loy that $M(G)$ is amenable *only if* G is discrete and amenable ([14]), a conjecture that was ultimately confirmed by H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani, and A. Ya. Helemskiĭ ([4]).

*Research supported by NSERC under grant no. 227043-00.

In [7], P. Eymard introduced, for an arbitrary locally compact G , its Fourier algebra $A(G)$ and its Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$. If G is abelian with dual group $\hat{G}$, then the Fourier and Fourier–Stieltjes transform, respectively, yield $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$ and $B(G) \cong M(\hat{G})$. Disappointingly, the amenability of $A(G)$ reflects the amenability of G rather inadequately: there are compact groups G , e.g. $G = \mathrm{SO}(3)$, for which $A(G)$ fails to be amenable ([12]). It would seem that the only locally compact groups G for which $A(G)$ is known to be amenable are those which have a closed, abelian subgroup with finite index ([15] or [8]).

Being the predual of the group von Neumann algebra $\mathrm{VN}(G)$, the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ has a canonical operator space structure. In [20], Z.-J. Ruan introduced a variant of amenability — called *operator amenability* — which takes the operator space structure of $A(G)$ into account. As it turns out, operator amenability is the “right” notion of amenability for $A(G)$ in the sense that it characterizes the amenable, locally compact groups: $A(G)$ is operator amenable if and only if G is amenable ([20, Theorem 3.6]).

Let $C^*(G)$ and $C_r^*(G)$ denote the full and the reduced group C^* -algebra of G , respectively. Then $B(G) = C^*(G)^*$ and the reduced Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B_r(G) = C_r^*(G)^*$ also have canonical operator space structures turning them into completely contractive Banach algebras. It is thus natural to ask for which G , the algebras $B(G)$ and $B_r(G)$, respectively, are operator amenable ([21, Problem 32]). Since $B_r(G) = B(G) = M(\hat{G})$ for abelian G , [4, Theorem 1.1] suggests that this is the case if and only if G is compact. We have not been able to prove this conjecture in full. However, if we replace mere operator amenability by what we shall call operator C -amenability: the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ — and, equivalently, $B_r(G)$ — is operator C -amenable for some $C < 5$ if and only if G is compact.

In [21], it was conjectured that, if we want to capture the amenability of a locally compact group G in terms of an amenability condition for $B(G)$ or $B_r(G)$, this notion of amenability needs to take both the operator space and the dual space structure of $B(G)$ and $B_r(G)$ into account. We introduce such a notion — called *operator Connes-amenability* — and show that, indeed, $B_r(G)$ is operator Connes-amenable if and only if G is amenable. Surprisingly, there are non-amenable, locally compact groups G — including $\mathbb{F}_2$ — for which $B(G)$ is operator Connes-amenable.

1 Completely contractive Banach algebras and operator amenability

Since there are now several expository sources on the theory of operator spaces available ([6], [19], and [28]), we refrain from introducing the basics of operator space theory. We will adopt the notation from [6]; in particular, $\hat{\otimes}$ stands for the projective tensor product

of operator spaces and not of Banach spaces.

We briefly recall a few definitions and results from [20].

Definition 1.1 A Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ which is also an operator space is called *completely contractive* if the multiplication of $\mathfrak{A}$ is a completely contractive bilinear map.

Clearly, $\mathfrak{A}$ is completely contractive if and only if the multiplication of $\mathfrak{A}$ induces a complete contraction $\Delta: \mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$.

Examples 1. For any Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, the maximal operator space $\max \mathfrak{A}$ is completely contractive.

2. If $\mathfrak{H}$ is a Hilbert space, then any closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ is completely contractive.

3. We denote the W^* -tensor product by $\bar{\otimes}$. A *Hopf-von Neumann algebra* is a pair $(\mathfrak{M}, \nabla)$, where $\mathfrak{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra, and ∇ is a *co-multiplication*: a unital, w^* -continuous, and injective $*$ -homomorphism $\nabla: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{M}$ which is co-associative, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathfrak{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{M} \\ \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow \nabla \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}} \\ \mathfrak{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{M} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}} \otimes \nabla} & \mathfrak{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{M} \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $\mathfrak{M}_*$ denote the unique predual of $\mathfrak{M}$. By [6, Theorem 7.2.4], we have $\mathfrak{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{M} \cong (\mathfrak{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{M}_*)^*$. Thus ∇ induces a complete contraction $\nabla_*: \mathfrak{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{M}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_*$ turning $\mathfrak{M}_*$ into a completely contractive Banach algebra.

4. Let G be a locally compact group, and let $W^*(G) := C^*(G)^{**}$. There is a canonical w^* -continuous unitary representation $\omega: G \rightarrow W^*(G)$, the *universal representation* of G , with the following universal property: for any representation (always WOT-continuous and unitary) π of G on a Hilbert space, there is unique w^* -continuous $*$ -homomorphism $\theta: W^*(G) \rightarrow \pi(G)''$ such that $\pi = \theta \circ \omega$. Applying this universal property to the representation

$$G \rightarrow W^*(G) \bar{\otimes} W^*(G), \quad x \mapsto \omega(x) \otimes \omega(x)$$

yields a co-multiplication $\nabla: W^*(G) \rightarrow W^*(G) \bar{\otimes} W^*(G)$. Hence, $B(G) := C^*(G)^*$, the *Fourier-Stieltjes* algebra of G , is a completely contractive Banach algebra. Since $B_r(G)$ and $A(G)$ are closed ideals of $B(G)$ (see [7]), they are also completely contractive Banach algebras. (It is not hard to see that the operator space structures on $B_r(G)$ and $A(G)$ inherited from $B(G)$ coincide with those they have as the preduals of $C_r^*(G)^{**}$ and $\text{VN}(G)$, respectively.)

Definition 1.2 Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a completely contractive Banach algebra. An operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule E is an $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule E which is also an operator space such that the module actions

$$\mathfrak{A} \times E \rightarrow E, \quad (a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x \quad \text{and} \quad E \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow E, \quad (x, a) \mapsto x \cdot a$$

are completely bounded.

Similarly, one defines left and right operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules. If E is a left and F is a right operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -module, then $E \hat{\otimes} F$ becomes an operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule in a canonical fashion via

$$a \cdot (x \otimes y) := a \cdot x \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad (x \otimes y) \cdot a := x \otimes y \cdot a \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in E, y \in F).$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$ is an operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule in a canonical way.

For any operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule E , its dual module E^* is also an operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule. We shall call an operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule E *dual* if it is of the form $E = (E_*)^*$ for some operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule E_* .

Definition 1.3 A completely contractive Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is called *operator amenable* if every completely bounded derivation from $\mathfrak{A}$ into a dual operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule is inner.

There is an intrinsic characterization of amenable Banach algebras in terms of approximate diagonals ([11]). This characterization has an analogue for operator amenable, completely contractive Banach algebras ([20, Proposition 2.4]):

Theorem 1.4 *The following are equivalent for a completely contractive Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$:*

- (i) $\mathfrak{A}$ is operator amenable.
- (ii) *There is an approximate operator diagonal for $\mathfrak{A}$, i.e. a bounded net $(\mathbf{d}_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$ such that*

$$a \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha - \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot a \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a \Delta \mathbf{d}_\alpha \rightarrow a \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

- (iii) *There is a virtual operator diagonal for $\mathfrak{A}$, i.e. an element $\mathbf{D} \in (\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A})^{**}$ such that*

$$a \cdot \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D} \cdot a \quad \text{and} \quad a \Delta^{**} \mathbf{D} = a \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

In analogy with the classical situation, Theorem 1.4 allows for a refinement of the notion of operator amenability:

Definition 1.5 Let $C \geq 1$. A completely contractive Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is called *operator C -amenable* if there is an approximate operator diagonal for $\mathfrak{A}$ bounded by C .

Example For any amenable, locally compact group G , the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is operator 1-amenable (this is implicitly shown in [20]).

We conclude this preliminary section with a lemma, which is the operator analogue of a classical result ([21, Theorem 2.3.7]); given Theorem 1.4, the proof from [21] carries over with the obvious modifications:

Lemma 1.6 *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an operator amenable, completely contractive Banach algebra. Then the following are equivalent for a closed ideal I of $\mathfrak{A}$:*

- (i) *I is operator amenable.*
- (ii) *I has a bounded approximate identity.*
- (iii) *I is completely weakly complemented, i.e. there is a completely bounded projection from $\mathfrak{A}^*$ onto $I^\perp$.*

Remark Of course, (iii) is satisfied whenever I is completely complemented, i.e. if there is a completely bounded projection from $\mathfrak{A}$ onto I .

2 A decomposition for $B(G)$

Let G be a locally compact group. Then we have a direct sum decomposition $M(G) = \ell^1(G) \oplus M_c(G)$, where $M_c(G)$ denotes the ideal of continuous measures in $M(G)$. This decomposition was crucial in the proof of [4, Theorem 1.1]. In this section, we establish an analogous decomposition for $B(G)$.

Let G be abelian with dual group $\hat{G}$ whose Bohr compactification we denote by $b\hat{G}$; we write G_d for the group G equipped with the discrete topology. For $\mu \in M(G)$, we denote its Fourier–Stieltjes transform in $B(\hat{G})$ by $\hat{\mu}$. Then we have for $\mu \in M(G)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \in \ell^1(G) &\iff \mu \in M(G_d) \\
&\iff \hat{\mu} \in B(\widehat{G_d}) \\
&\iff \hat{\mu} \in B(b\hat{G}) \\
&\iff \hat{\mu} \in B(\hat{G}) \text{ is almost periodic,}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equivalence holds by [7, (2.27) Corollaire 4].

This suggests that the appropriate replacement for $\ell^1(G)$ in the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra context is $B(G) \cap \text{AP}(G)$, where $\text{AP}(G)$ denotes the algebra of all almost periodic functions on G . It is well known (see [17, 3.2.16], for example) that $\text{AP}(G)$ is a commutative C^* -algebra whose character space is a compact group denoted by aG (for abelian G , we have $aG = bG$). We will first give an alternative description of $B(G) \cap \text{AP}(G)$ which will turn out to be useful later on.

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $\mathcal{R}$ be any family of representations of G . We denote by $A_{\mathcal{R}}(G)$ the closed linear span in $B(G)$ of the coefficient functions of all representations in $\mathcal{R}$, i.e. of all functions of the form

$$G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto \langle \rho(x)\xi, \eta \rangle,$$

where $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$, and ξ and η are vectors in the corresponding Hilbert space. If $\mathcal{R}$ is the family of all representations of G , then $A_{\mathcal{R}}(G) = B(G)$, and if $\mathcal{R}$ just consists of the left regular representation, then $A_{\mathcal{R}}(G) = A(G)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the family of all finite-dimensional representations of G . Since $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under taking tensor products, it is immediate that $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is a (completely contractive) Banach algebra.

Proposition 2.1 *Let G be a locally compact group. Then $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = B(G) \cap \text{AP}(G)$, and we have a canonical completely isometric isomorphism between $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ and $B(aG)$.*

Proof In view of [7, (2.27) Corollaire 4], it is sufficient to prove the second assertion only.

Let $\iota: G \rightarrow aG$ denote the (not necessarily injective) canonical map. It is easy to see that $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G) \cong B(aG)$ via

$$B(aG) \rightarrow B(G), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \iota. \tag{1}$$

We claim that (1) is a complete isometry. To see this, let $\omega_G: G \rightarrow W^*(G)$ and $\omega_{aG}: aG \rightarrow W^*(aG)$ denote the universal representations of G and aG , respectively. Applying the universal property of $\omega_G: G \rightarrow W^*(G)$ to $\omega_{aG} \circ \iota: G \rightarrow W^*(aG)$ yields a (necessarily surjective) w^* -continuous $*$ -homomorphism $\pi: W^*(G) \rightarrow W^*(aG)$. It is immediate that (1) is the adjoint of π . Hence, (1) is a complete isometry by [6, Theorem 4.1.8]. $\square$

Remarks 1. Note that $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ can be very small relative to $B(G)$: for example, if $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we have, $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = \mathbb{C}$.

2. Suppose that G is non-compact. Since $B(G)$ is a complete invariant for G ([26, Corollary]), it follows that $B(G) \not\cong B(aG)$ and thus $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G) \subsetneq B(G)$ by Proposition 2.1.

Let G be a locally compact group. For any function f on G and $x \in G$, we define the left and the right translate of f by x by letting

$$(L_x f)(y) := f(xy) \quad \text{and} \quad (R_x f)(y) := f(yx) \quad (y \in G).$$

A linear space E of functions on G is said to be *translation invariant* if $L_x f, R_x f \in E$ for all $f \in E$ and $x \in G$.

We record the following well known lemma for convenience:

Lemma 2.2 *Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following are equivalent for a closed subspace E of $B(G)$:*

- (i) E is translation invariant;
- (ii) E is a $W^*(G)$ -submodule of $B(G)$;
- (iii) $E = p \cdot B(G)$ for a unique central projection $p \in W^*(G)$.

Proof (i) $\iff$ (ii) is [26, Proposition 1.(i)], and (ii) $\iff$ (iii) is a well known general fact about von Neumann algebras (which can be found in [25], for instance). $\square$

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a family of representations of G . Then it is clear that $A_{\mathcal{R}}(G)$ is translation invariant. Hence, there is a unique central projection $p_{\mathcal{R}} \in W^*(G)$ such that $A_{\mathcal{R}}(G) = p_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot B(G)$.

For any representation π of G , we denote its canonical w^* -continuous extension to $W^*(G)$ by π as well. We call a representation π of G *purely infinite-dimensional* if $\pi(p_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$. We denote the family of all purely infinite-dimensional representations of G by $\mathcal{PIF}$; note that $\mathcal{PIF} \neq \emptyset$ if G is not compact.

Theorem 2.3 *Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following are equivalent and true:*

- (i) $A_{\mathcal{PIF}}(G)$ is an ideal of $B(G)$.
- (ii) The map

$$B(G) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}}(G), \quad f \mapsto p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot f$$

is an algebra homomorphism.

- (iii) $\nabla p_{\mathcal{F}} = p_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes p_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof It is immediately checked that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Let $x \in W^*(G)$ and $f, g \in B(G)$, and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot (fg) - (p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot f)(p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot g) \rangle &= \langle xp_{\mathcal{F}}, fg \rangle - \langle x, (p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot f)(p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot g) \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla(xp_{\mathcal{F}}), f \otimes g \rangle - \langle \nabla x, (p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot f) \otimes (p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot g) \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla(x) \nabla(p_{\mathcal{F}}) - (\nabla x)(p_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes p_{\mathcal{F}}), f \otimes g \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla(x)(\nabla p_{\mathcal{F}} - p_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes p_{\mathcal{F}}), f \otimes g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the equivalence of (ii) and (iii).

We shall now verify that (iii) is indeed true.

Let $\text{WAP}(G)$ denote the weakly almost periodic functions on G (see [3] for the definition of $\text{WAP}(G)$ and further information). By [3, Theorem 3.1], we have $B(G) \subset \text{WAP}(G)$.

Taking the adjoint of this inclusion map, we obtain a canonical map $\pi : \text{WAP}(G)^* \rightarrow W^*(G)$. Since $\text{WAP}(G)$ is an introverted subspace of $\ell^\infty(G)$, its dual $\text{WAP}(G)^*$ is a Banach algebra in a canonical manner. It is routinely verified — e.g. by checking multiplicativity on $M(G)$ — that π is a $*$ -homomorphism. The character space wG of $\text{WAP}(G)$ is a compact, semitopological semigroup containing a topologically isomorphic copy of G . The kernel $K(wG)$ of wG is intersection of all ideals of wS ; it is non-empty by [3, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2], and by [3, Theorems 2.7], it is a compact group. Let $e_{K(wG)}$ denote its identity element. Then by (the proof of) [3, Theorem 2.22], we have $\text{AP}(G) = e_{K(wG)} \cdot \text{WAP}(G)$. It follows that $p_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi(e_{K(wG)})$. In particular, $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a character on $B(G)$. By [26, Theorem 1.(ii)], this implies (iii). $\square$

- Remarks*
1. Let G be a non-discrete locally compact group. Then we have a further decomposition of $M_c(G)$, namely $M_c(G) = M_s(G) \oplus L^1(G)$, where $M_s(G)$ denotes the measures in $M_c(G)$ which are singular with respect to left Haar measure. The decomposition of $M(G)$ into $\ell^1(G) \oplus M_s(G)$ and $L^1(G)$ has long been known to have a $B(G)$ -analogue (see [1] and [16]). In view of Theorem 2.3, we now have a complete analogue for $B(G)$ of the decomposition of the measure algebra into its discrete part, its singular, continuous part, and its absolutely continuous part.
 2. Let G be a non-compact, locally compact group. Then $A(G)$ is a translation invariant subspace of $B(G)$ having zero intersection with $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$. It follows that $A(G) \subset A_{\mathcal{PIF}}(G)$. Since for a non-discrete, locally compact group, the absolutely continuous measures are properly contained in the continuous measures, the natural conjecture is that $A(G) \subsetneq A_{\mathcal{PIF}}(G)$. This conjecture seems to be open for general locally compact groups, even in the amenable case.

3 Operator non-amenability for $B(G)$ and $B_r(G)$ if G is not compact

We will now use Theorem 2.3 to show that $B(G)$ — and, equivalently, $B_r(G)$ — cannot be operator C -amenable with $C < 5$ unless G is compact.

We first need a purely operator space theoretic lemma.

Given two operator spaces E_1 and E_2 , their operator space ℓ^∞ -direct sum $E_1 \oplus_\infty E_2$ is defined by taking the Banach space ℓ^∞ -direct sum on each matrix level. It is then immediate that $E_1 \oplus_\infty E_2$ is again an operator space. If F is another operator space, then it is immediately checked that

$$\mathcal{CB}(F, E_1 \oplus_\infty E_2) \cong \mathcal{CB}(F, E_1) \oplus_\infty \mathcal{CB}(F, E_2) \quad (2)$$

canonically as Banach spaces. From the definition of the operator space structures on $\mathcal{CB}(E_1 \oplus_\infty E_2, F)$, $\mathcal{CB}(E_1, F)$, and $\mathcal{CB}(E_2, F)$ (see [6, p. 45]), it follows that the identification (2) is even a complete isometry.

The canonical embedding of $E_1 \oplus E_2$ into $(E_1^* \oplus_\infty E_2^*)^*$ equips $E_1 \oplus E_2$ with another operator space structure, denoted by $E_1 \oplus_1 E_2$. On the Banach space level, this is just the ordinary ℓ^1 -direct sum of Banach spaces. Replacing E_1^* and E_2^* with E_1 and E_2 , respectively, in (2) and combining the duality result [6, Corollary 7.1.5] with the commutativity of $\hat{\otimes}$, we obtain:

Lemma 3.1 *Let E_1 , E_2 , and F be operator spaces. Then we have a canonical completely isometric isomorphism*

$$(E_1 \oplus_1 E_2) \hat{\otimes} F \cong (E_1 \hat{\otimes} F) \oplus_1 (E_2 \hat{\otimes} F).$$

We can now prove the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.2 *For a locally compact group, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) G is compact.
- (ii) $B_r(G)$ is operator C -amenable for some $C < 5$.
- (iii) $B(G)$ is operator C -amenable for some $C < 5$.

Proof (i) $\implies$ (ii): If G is compact, then $B_r(G) = B(G) = A(G)$. Since $A(G)$ is operator 1-amenable, this proves (ii).

(ii) $\implies$ (iii): Since $A(G)$ is a closed $C_r^*(G)$ -submodule of $B_r(G)$, there is a projection $p \in C_r^*(G)^{**}$ such that $A(G) = p \cdot B_r(G)$. In particular, $A(G)$ is a completely complemented ideal of $B_r(G)$ and thus operator amenable by Lemma 1.6. By [20, Theorem 3.6], this implies the amenability of G and thus $B_r(G) = B(G)$ by [18, (4.21) Theorem].

(iii) $\implies$ (i): Assume towards a contradiction that G is not compact. Let $(\mathbf{d}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}}$ be an approximate operator diagonal for $B(G)$ bounded by $C < 5$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\Delta \mathbf{d}_\alpha = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$. We then have

$$\mathbf{d}_\alpha = p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}} + p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}} + p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}} + p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{A}). \quad (3)$$

Since $B(G) = p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot B(G) \oplus_1 p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot B(G)$ in the operator space sense, Lemma 3.1 and (3) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \|p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}\| + \|p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}\| \\ & + \|p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}\| + \|p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}\| = \|\mathbf{d}_\alpha\| \leq C < 5 \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{A}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

First note that, by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\Delta(p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}) = p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{d}_\alpha = p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot 1 = 1. \quad (5)$$

Since Δ is a (complete) contraction, this yields in turn that

$$\|p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}\| \geq 1 \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{A}). \quad (6)$$

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter on $\mathbb{A}$ that dominates the order filter. Then we have for $f \in A(G) \subset A_{\mathcal{PIF}}(G)$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}})) &= w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta((f \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha}) \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}) \\ &= w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta((\mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot f) \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(\mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$. Combining this with (5), we obtain

$$w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}) = -1$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}\| \geq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|\Delta(p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}})\| \geq 1. \quad (7)$$

Analogously, we see that $w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}) = -1$ and consequently

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}\| \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}) \\ &= w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} (\Delta(\mathbf{d}_{\alpha}) - \Delta(p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}) - \Delta(p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}) - \Delta(p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}})) \\ &= 1 - w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{F}}) - w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(p_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}) \\ &\quad - w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}) \\ &= 3 - w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $w^*-\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}) = 2$. We thus obtain

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|p_{\mathcal{PIF}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\mathcal{PIF}}\| \geq 2. \quad (9)$$

Altogether, (6), (7), (8), and (9) contradict (4). $\square$

Remarks 1. The proof of (ii) $\implies$ (i) shows that, whenever $B_r(G)$ — or, equivalently, $B(G)$ — is operator amenable, then G is amenable.

2. We strongly suspect that $B(G)$ and $B_r(G)$ are operator amenable only if G is compact. One possible way of proving this would be to follow the route outlined (for measure algebras) in [4]: assume that $B(G)$ is operator amenable, but that G is not compact. Then Lemma 1.6 implies that $A_{\mathcal{PIF}}(G)$ is operator amenable and thus

has a bounded approximate identity. This, in turn, would imply that every element of $A_{\mathcal{PIF}}(G)$ is a product of two elements in $A_{\mathcal{PIF}}(G)$ by Cohen’s factorization theorem ([17, 5.2.4 Corollary]). We believe that this is not true, but have been unable to confirm this belief with a proof.

3. Another open question related to Theorem 3.2 is for which locally compact groups G , the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ is amenable in the classical sense. The corresponding question for the Fourier algebra is also still open: as mentioned in the introduction, the only locally compact groups G for which $A(G)$ is known to be amenable are those with an abelian subgroup of finite index, and it is plausible to conjecture that these are indeed the only ones. The plausible conjecture for $B(G)$ is that it is amenable if and only if G is compact and has an abelian subgroup of finite index.

4 Operator Connes-amenability

Amenability in the sense of [10] is not the “right” notion of amenability for von Neumann algebras because it is too restrictive to allow for the development of a reasonably rich theory ([27]). In [13], a variant of amenability — christened Connes-amenability in [9] — was introduced for von Neumann algebras, which takes the normal structure in von Neumann algebras into account. This notion of amenability has turned out to be equivalent to a number of important W^* -algebraic properties, such as injectivity and semidiscreteness; see [21, Chapter 6] for a self-contained exposition.

Similarly, [4, Theorem 1.1] suggests that Johnson’s original definition of amenability is too strong to deal with measure algebras. In [22], the first-named author extended the notion of Connes-amenability to the class of dual Banach algebras. This class includes — besides W^* -algebras — all measure algebras and all algebras $\mathcal{B}(E)$ for a reflexive Banach space E . In [23], we proved that a locally compact group G is amenable if and only if $M(G)$ is Connes-amenable.

We shall now introduce a hybrid of operator amenability and Connes-amenability, which will turn out to be the “right” notion of amenability for the reduced Fourier–Stieltjes algebra in the sense that it singles out precisely the amenable, locally compact groups.

Definition 4.1 A completely contractive Banach algebra is called *dual* if $\mathfrak{A}^*$ has a closed $\mathfrak{A}$ -submodule $\mathfrak{A}_*$ such that $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{A}_*)^*$.

Remark In general, there is no need for $\mathfrak{A}_*$ to be unique.

Examples 1. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a dual Banach algebra in the sense of [22, Definition 1.1], then $\max \mathfrak{A}$ is a dual, completely contractive Banach algebra.

2. Every W^* -algebra is a dual, completely contractive Banach algebra.
3. For any locally compact group G , the Fourier–Stieltjes algebras $B(G)$ and $B_r(G)$ are dual, completely contractive Banach algebras.

Definition 4.2 Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a dual, completely contractive Banach algebra. A dual operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule E is called *normal* if, for each $x \in E$, the maps

$$\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow E, \quad a \mapsto \begin{cases} a \cdot x \\ x \cdot a \end{cases}$$

are w^* -continuous.

Definition 4.3 A dual, completely contractive Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is called *operator Connes-amenable* if every w^* -continuous, completely bounded derivation from $\mathfrak{A}$ into a normal, dual operator $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule is inner.

For the reduced Fourier–Stieltjes algebra, we obtain:

Theorem 4.4 *The following are equivalent for a locally compact group G :*

- (i) G is amenable.
- (ii) $B_r(G)$ is operator Connes-amenable.

Proof (i) $\implies$ (ii): By [20, Theorem 3.6], $A(G)$ is operator amenable. The w^* -density of $A(G)$ in $B_r(G)$ then yields the operator Connes-amenability of $B_r(G)$ (compare [22, Proposition 4.2(i)]).

(ii) $\implies$ (i): The same argument as in the proof of [22, Proposition 4.1] yields that $B_r(G)$ has an identity. Since $B_r(G)$ is a closed ideal of $B(G)$ by [7, (2.16) Proposition], it follows that $B_r(G) = B(G)$ and thus $C_r^*(G) = C^*(G)$. By [18, (4.21) Theorem], this is equivalent to G being amenable. $\square$

It is, of course, tempting to conjecture that $B_r(G)$ in Theorem 4.4(ii) can be replaced by $B(G)$. The implication (i) $\implies$ (ii) then still holds because $B(G) = B_r(G)$ for amenable G . The argument used to establish the converse, however, does no longer work for $B(G)$ instead of $B_r(G)$. As we shall now see, not only the proof no longer works, but the statement becomes false: there are non-amenable, locally compact groups for which $B(G)$ is operator Connes-amenable.

Lemma 4.5 *Let G be a locally compact group. Then $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is operator amenable.*

Proof Since aG is compact, we have $B(aG) = A(aG)$. Since aG is amenable, $A(aG) = B(aG)$ is operator amenable by [20, Theorem 3.6]. By Proposition 2.1, the completely contractive Banach algebras $B(aG)$ and $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ are completely isometrically isomorphic. Hence, $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is operator amenable. $\square$

Remark Should our conjecture that $B(G)$ is operator amenable only for compact G be correct, then Lemma 4.5 would yield immediately that $A(G) \subsetneq A_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{IF}}(G)$ for non-compact, amenable G : otherwise, we would have a short exact sequence

$$\{0\} \rightarrow A(G) \rightarrow B(G) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}}(G) \rightarrow \{0\}$$

of completely contractive Banach algebras whose endpoints are operator amenable. The straightforward analogue of a hereditary property of amenability in the classical sense ([21, Theorem 2.3.10]) would then yield the operator amenability of $B(G)$, which is impossible.

Recall that a C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is called *residually finite-dimensional* if the family of finite-dimensional $*$ -representations of $\mathfrak{A}$ separates the points of $\mathfrak{A}$. For locally compact groups G , the property of $C^*(G)$ being residually finite-dimensional implies that G is maximally almost periodic ([24, Theorem 1.1]), though the converse need not be true ([2]).

Theorem 4.6 *Let G be a locally compact group such that $C^*(G)$ is residually finite-dimensional. Then $B(G)$ is operator Connes-amenable.*

Proof By Lemma 4.5, $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is operator amenable. Since $C^*(G)$ is residually finite-dimensional, a simple Hahn–Banach argument shows that $A_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is w^* -dense in $B(G)$. Then (the operator analogue of) [22, Proposition 4.2(i)] yields the operator Connes-amenability of $B(G)$. $\square$

Example Let $\mathbb{F}_2$ denote the free group in two generators. Then $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is residually finite-dimensional by [5, Proposition VII.6.1], so that $B(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is operator Connes-amenable by Theorem 4.6. However, $\mathbb{F}_2$ is not amenable.

References

- [1] G. ARSAC, Sur l’espace de Banach engendré par les coefficients d’une représentations unitaire. *Publ. Dép. Math. (Lyon)* **13** (1976), 1–101.
- [2] M. E. B. BEKKA, On the full C^* -algebras of arithmetic groups and the congruence subgroup problem. *Forum Math.* **11** (1999), 705–715.
- [3] R. B. BURCKEL, *Weakly Almost Periodic Functions on Semigroups*. Gordon and Breach, 1970.

- [4] H. G. DALES, F. GHAHRAMANI, and A. YA. HELEMSKIĬ, The amenability of measure algebras. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **66** (2002), 213–226.
- [5] K. R. DAVIDSON, *C^* -Algebras by Example*. American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [6] E. G. EFFROS and Z.-J. RUAN, *Operator Spaces*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [7] P. EYMARD, L’algèbre de Fourier d’un groupe localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **92** (1964), 181–236.
- [8] B. E. FORREST, Amenability and weak amenability of the Fourier algebra. Preprint (2000).
- [9] A. YA. HELEMSKIĬ, Homological essence of amenability in the sense of A. Connes: the injectivity of the predual bimodule (translated from the Russian). *Math. USSR–Sb.* **68** (1991), 555–566.
- [10] B. E. JOHNSON, Cohomology in Banach algebras. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1972).
- [11] B. E. JOHNSON, Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras. *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 685–698.
- [12] B. E. JOHNSON, Non-amenability of the Fourier algebra of a compact group. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **50** (1994), 361–374.
- [13] B. E. JOHNSON, R. V. KADISON, and J. RINGROSE, Cohomology of operator algebras, III. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **100** (1972), 73–79.
- [14] A. T.-M. LAU and R. J. LOY, Amenability of convolution algebras. *Math. Scand.* **79** (1996), 283–296.
- [15] A. T.-M. LAU, R. J. LOY, and G. A. WILLIS, Amenability of Banach and C^* -algebras on locally compact groups. *Studia Math.* **119** (1996), 161–178.
- [16] T. MIAO, Decomposition of $B(G)$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **581** (1999), 4675–4692.
- [17] T. W. PALMER, *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of $*$ -Algebras*, I. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [18] A. L. T. PATERSON, *Amenability*. American Mathematical Society, 1988.
- [19] G. PISIER, *An Introduction to the Theory of Operator Spaces*. Notes du Cours du Centre Emile Borel, 2000.
- [20] Z.-J. RUAN, The operator amenability of $A(G)$. *Amer. J. Math.* **117** (1995), 1449–1474.
- [21] V. RUNDE, *Lectures on Amenability*. Lectures Notes in Mathematics **1774**, Springer Verlag, 2002.
- [22] V. RUNDE, Amenability for dual Banach algebras. *Studia Math.* **148** (2001), 47–66.

- [23] V. RUNDE, Connes-amenability and normal, virtual diagonals for measure algebras, I. *J. London Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [24] N. SPRONK and P. J. WOOD, Diagonal type conditions on group C^* -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2000), 609–616.
- [25] M. TAKESAKI, *Theory of Operator Algebras*, I. Springer Verlag, 1979.
- [26] M. E. WALTER, W^* -algebras a nonabelian harmonic analysis. *J. Funct. Ana.* **11** (1972), 17–38.
- [27] S. WASSERMANN, On Tensor products of certain group C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **23** (1976), 239–254.
- [28] G. WITTSTOCK *et al.*, What are operator spaces? — An online dictionary. URL: <http://www.math.uni-sb.de/~ag-wittstock/projekt2001.html> (2001).

[February 1, 2008]

First author's address: Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta
Canada T6G 2G1

E-mail: vrunde@ualberta.ca

URL: <http://www.math.ualberta.ca/~runde/runde.html>

Second author's address: Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario
Canada N2L 3G1

E-mail: nspronk@math.uwaterloo.ca